

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

17/2002	John G. McCann	192-492 (P-5306)	1140
			1140
10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
Company		TRAN. THAO T	
		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Franklin Lakes, NJ 07417			
	Company	Company	Company Tran. 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Applicant(s) Application No. 10/051,608 MCCANN ET AL. **Advisory Action** Examiner **Art Unit** Thao T. Tran 1711 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in

37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

issues for appeal; and/or

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: . .

NOTE: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: <u>11-13</u>.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u>.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. ☐ Other:

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Final rejection still stands. As pointed out in the prior Office action of 6/16/03, Okuda and Blackwell are used to illustrate that a blend of 33% polystyrene and thus 67% styrene butadiene have been taught in the prior art for the purpose of improving impact and melt strengths and transparency of the product. Thus Okuda and Blackwell would be pertinent art to the problem with which Applicants are concerned.

THAO T. TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Theo Tran